

## Continental lithosphere - mosaic of microplates with a rigid mantle lithosphere

J. Plomerová, V. Babuška, H.Munzarová, L. Vecsey and Working teams of the AlpArray, Bohema, EgerRift etc. temporary Seismic Networks

Institute of Geophysics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague

*Motto*: seismic anisotropy – particularly changes in its 3D orientation in the upper mantle (mantle lithosphere) – a clue in understanding continental plate structure and plate development

CzechGeo/EPOS workshop, Nov 16, 2016, Prague



**Passive seismic experiments** are designed to bring answers on questions related to specific structural targets in different provinces

*tools to study upper mantle fabrics* – **body-wave anisotropy** evaluated from directional dependences of **travel time deviations** of teleseismic P waves and **shear-wave splitting** (analogy of optical birefringence)

**BOHEMA experiments** – focused on structure of the upper mantle beneath the BM

Similarly to other regions in tectonically different provinces in Europe:

- delimit domains of mantle lithosphere
- domains retain its own fossil fabric
- acc. to character of changes of anisotropic parameters we distinguish orientation of boundaries between the domains narrow steep, narrow inclined, a transition
- Mapping LAB lower boundary as a transition between the fossil anisotropy in the mantle lithosphere and anisotropy related to present day flow in the underlying asthenosphere



Domains of mantle lithosphere - each with consistent fabric



Babuška and Plomerová., Gondwana Res. 2013

#### Directional variations of relative P-wave travel times



Azimuth-incidence angle dependent terms of relative residuals - in stereographic projection of lower hemisphere

Important – good coverage in BAZ : 0-360° Incidence angles at Moho: 20°-50° angels of propagation within mantle lithosphere (epic. distances 20-100)

Directional terms of relative residuals



IG CAS Prague



(**-0.5**, **0.5** s) + zero (-0.1,01s)

Waves from SW – faster than from NE, relatively to station directional mean

#### Bipolar pattern –

consistently faster from one side, slower form the opposite one

#### **Shear-wave splitting**



Azimuthal vs. 3D anisotropy

#### Splitting in 3D

evaluation generalized into the ray-coordinate LQT system Šílený and Plomerová, PEPI, 1996 **P**agaonat

#### Reasons:

- ➢ splitting parameters depend on back-azimuth
- different splitting parameters for waves from opposite back-azimuth
- seeming incompatibility between high-velocity direction from shear-wave splitting and directional variations of P-wave travel times



- search for the fast polarization direction ψ in the plane (Q-T) perpendicular to the ray path plane (L-Q) of the shear phase.
- A rotation of the coordinate system in the plane (Q-T) by angle ψ, and a time shift δt (s) imposed on the shear-wave components, yield the splitting parameters determined in 3-D.

the fast polarization direction  $\psi$  - defined by two Euler angles – azimuth  $\varphi$  and inclination  $\theta$ (measured upward from the vertical Z axis oriented downward).



#### 3D self-consistent anisotropic models of BM mantle lithosphere



- Each domain of mantle lithosphere is characterized by its own fabric with inclined symmetry axes
- Boundaries of the mantle domains are shifted relative to their crust equivalents
- we interpret the mantle lithosphere fabrics as fossil structure formed during origin of individual mantle lithosphere fragments
- Assumed simple cooling process of forming the lithosphere would result in horizontal layering

Models of domains of the mantle lithosphere with **inclined fabrics** retrieved by **joint inversion of P and S anisotropic parameters** 

Babuška and Plomerová, Gondwana Res. 2013



Mantle lithosphere domains with consistent anisotropic signals

IG CAS Prague





Xenolith ages (Peltonen and Bruegmann, 2006)

### Mantle Lithosphere beneath northern Fennoscandia

IG CAS

Prague

**E**ZECH



GECH IG CAS Prague

#### Domains of mantle lithosphere in the Baltic Shield (Svecofennian)



Lithosphere thinning to the North (northern Fennoscandia)



### Seismic anisotropy of Precambrian Europe -Fennoscandian Mantle Lithosphere



parameters

Different BAZ dependences in each domain

• abrupt changes in parameters domain boundaries

domains have their own history and different fossil fabrics, created before they assembled

Eken et al., Tectonophysics 2010



#### EUROPROBE - TESZ/TOR

*Teleseismic P* - *velocity Tomography* 



Shomali, PhD Thesis 2001

Joint inversion of anisotropic parameters of body waves – anisotropic part of relative travel time delays and shear-wave splitting - three domains of mantle lithosphere of different thickness, but also with different fabrics and sharp boundaries.

Plomerová et al., Tectonophys., 2001, Babuška and Plomerová, Terra Nova , 2004

#### Lithosphere thickness and

anisotropy along the TOR array crossing the Trans-European Suture Zone in Germany, Denmark and Sweden





#### LAB around the central TESZ from P-wave travel times



- Anisotropic signal changes north of the BM both in P spheres and shear-wave (SKS) splitting (Vecsey et al., Solid Earth 2014) -
- characterictic P- sphere pattern similar to that modelled in Fennoscandia (*Eken et al.*, I *Tectonophysics 2010*)

PASSEQ 2006 - 2008

**distinct change** in lithosphere **thickness** relates the TTZ – boundary of EEC



Lateral change of **shear-wave splitting** parameters –the **northern edge of the BM** 

The Phanerozoic lithosphere East of the TTZ thrusts over the Precambrian lithosphere beneath the EEC; whose mantle lithosphere seems to penetrate SW of the TTZ, probably as far as beneath the BM.



## P-sphere pattern in northern and eastern Bohemian Massif



BOHEMA II (2004-2005)

Stations with similar pattern form **groups** 

P-sphere pattern remains constant = independent of data set (i.e., array, time interval)

Pattern in Sudetes = ST

no pattern transitional to the MS and BV

Plomerová et al., Tectonophysics 2012





# LAB from body-wave travel-times and surface-wave anisotropy $(\psi_{G,\xi}\xi)$ models

TESZ – separates ~200-250 thick Precambrian lithosphere in both models – blue



Phanerozoic central Europe - ~120 km green Shallow beneath basins yellow-red Lithosphere thinning towards the Atlantic

LAB model from azimuthal and radial anisotropy of surface waves, *Plomerová et al., Tectonophysics 2002* 



## Model of a possible growth of continental mantle lithosphere

*resulting in inclined fossil fabric* - formation by stacking of oceanic plates



(successive subductions)

- Oceanic lithosphere (B) subducting beneath an old continental craton (A) retains its preferred olivine
  orientation (LPO) in the mantle part.
- I) The ongoing subduction ceases and a new one starts (B) outboard of the enlarged continent.
- (III) Continent-continent collision, each microplate (B,C) has own ready-made fabric. New oceanic subduction (D) starts.
- (IV) Deep parts of the episodically subducting slabs detach and the LAB is being gradually smoothed by a mantle flow.
- The **LPO of olivine in peridotite aggregates** approx. by hexagonal or orthorhombic symmetry **with inclined lineation** *a*, **or foliation** (*a*,*c*).

Babuška and Plomerová, AGU Monogr. 1989; Plomerová and Babuška, Lithos 2010



## **Conclusions**

Evaluating **body-wave velocity anisotropy in 3D** allows us to model **fabrics** of individual regions of continental **mantle lithosphere** and relief of the **LAB**.

The continental lithosphere consists of **mosaic of domains** with their **own fabrics**.

The domains, **amalgamated** during different tectonic processes, retain their original **fossil fabrics**.

Thanks for your attention