Local event detection with neural networks (application to Webnet data)

J. Doubravová, J. Horálek¹ and J. Wiszniowski²

¹Department of Seismology Institute of Geophysics, Czech Academy of Sciences

²Department of Seismology Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences

Workshop CzechGeo/EPOS, 16.11.2016





J. Doubravová, J. Horálek and J. Wiszniowski



- seismic networks produce huge volumes of continuous data
- good seismic event detection
 - for manual processing to reduce false alarms to be inspected (specific)
 - for automatic processing detect all the weak events (sensitive)
- in artificial neural networks the useful information is extracted (even if we are not able to describe the algorithm)
- in artificial neural networks the forward problem is solved fast

• • = • • = •

Biology facts

- neural network interface between organism and environment
- information from receptors spread through neurons to effectors
- in cerebral cortex 15-33 billion neurons
- dendrites (inputs), synaptic terminals (output, connected to up to 5000 other neurons dendrites)
- synaptic permeability between dendrite and axon is adjusted
- if the activity of dendrites is above threshold neuron generates an electric impulse







- *n* real inputs *x* = dendrites, bias *x*₀ = 1
- weights w = synaptic permeability, $w_0 = -h$ threshold
- activation potential $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{n} w_i x_i$
- activation function $y=\sigma(\xi)$



Artificial neural network

- to solve more complex problems neural networks
- typical applications: classification, pattern recognition, regression



Motivation Artificial neural networks - introduction Method and data

Tests and results Summary WEBNET data Single Layer Recurrent Neural Network configuration SLRNN training

WEBNET data



Installation:

1999

2000

2003

2006

- 22 stations in total
- 13 stations online
- 250Hz, three
 - component ground-velocity records

WEBNET data Single Layer Recurrent Neural Network configuration SLRNN training

SLRNN - network architecture

- outputs of neurons are fed back as inputs = recurrence, memory
- delays of more samples $D_1..D_d$ (1, 2, 4, and 8 samples)
- 8 neurons, 18 inputs, 3 outputs (event, P, S)



WEBNET data Single Layer Recurrent Neural Network configuration SLRNN training

SLRNN - input data processing

- STA window 2x shortest period, LTA window 10x longest period
- decimation to 0.2s time steps compromise between the acceptable computational load and good separation of individual waves



▶ ∢ ≣ ▶



WEBNET data Single Layer Recurrent Neural Network configuration SLRNN training

• • • • • • •



- supervised learning: find w_{ij} to get the best fit of the actual and required outputs
- 100 events for each station (different magnitudes, locations or focal mechanisms)
- 100 examples of disturbances and non-local events (quarry blasts, regional or teleseismic events, disturbances by wind or storms...)

WEBNET data Single Layer Recurrent Neural Network configuration SLRNN training

Training - example

Learning Importance Weight of Events(LIWE) - sensitivity of **event** output to S wave onset = very important parameter



J. Doubravová, J. Horálek and J. Wiszniowski

Tests Relation to SNR Undetected events

Cost function and ROC for various LIWE

- sensitivity = detected events / all events
- specificity = rejected disturbances / all disturbances



・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

Tests Relation to SNR Undetected events

ROC for joint and individual training

- in case that the training P- and S-onset picks are not evenly present on all stations, it is helpful to use joint training
- if the picks are complete for the station and all events, individual training performs better



J. Doubravová, J. Horálek and J. Wiszniowski

Tests Relation to SNR Undetected events

Signal-to-noise ratio

- the worst trained stations (KAC and ZHC) also those with higher noise
- the best trained stations (LBC, POC, VAC) with lower noise (higher SNR)



J. Doubravová, J. Horálek and J. Wiszniowski

Tests Relation to SNR Undetected events

Undetected events - examples Events $M_L = 2.3$ and $M_L = 2.2$ masked by a coda of $M_L = 3.8$ earthquake



Tests Relation to SNR Undetected events

Undetected events - examples detection of $M_L = -0.3$ event failed on station KAC due to higher noise level



J. Doubravová, J. Horálek and J. Wiszniowski Local event detection with neural networks (application to

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Summary

- SLRNN proved to be an appropriate tool for local event detection
- LIWE very important, affects the number of successful trials
- in case the training P- and S-onset picks are not evenly present on all stations => joint training helps
- if the picks are complete for the station and all events => individual training performs better
- Outlook
 - use the network = coincidence rejection of false alarms + confirmation of events
 - try to teach similar network for Reykjanet data

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト