Waveform cross-correlations in seismic applications – possibilities and experiences

Martin Bachura¹



¹ Dept. of Seismology, Institute of Geophysics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czechia

Waveform cross-correlation – what is it?

Signal processing tool

Measure of similarity of two series (y_1 and y_2) as a function of delay τ

$$CC(\tau) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{t+\tau} y_1(t) y_2(t-\tau)}{\sqrt{\sigma(y_1(t))\sigma(y_2(t))}}$$

Normalized convolution of two signals – cross-correlation coefficient CC



Waveform cross-correlation – what is it?



Two results – $CC(\tau)$ and delay between two signals

























INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSICS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CZECH REPUBLIC

Waveform cross-correlation in DD locations

Joint (re)location of a large number of clustered earthquakes Searching the relative positions of the earthquakes

Use of DIFFERENTIAL TIMES instead absolute phase arrival times





Waveform cross-correlation in DD locations



Use of DIFFERENTIAL TIMES

instead absolute phase arrival times





Waveform cross-correlation in DD locations



West Bohemia: 2018



DD locations: CC vs. manual



INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSIC

DD locations: CC vs. manual



Manual



INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSICS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CZECH REPUBLIC

5th December 2018, IG CAS, Czechia

CC

DD locations: CC vs. manual

Bias in stronger events locations $(M_1 > 3)$



Manual



INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSICS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CZECH REPUBLIC

5th December 2018, IG CAS, Czechia

CC

Bias in cross-correlated data

Result of pulse widths differences





Bias in cross-correlated data



Difference between CC and manual differential times for M_L between 0 and 3.5 (200 events, all possible event pairs)



Waveform cross-correlations in seismic applications...



Pulse width differences introduce systematic error in differential times estimations – result of *different spectral contents* of signals – effect of *magnitude differences*



Bias in cross-correlated data – strong events



Differential time difference of event pairs with $M_L = 4.2$ event and its aftershocks down to $M_I = 0$

Effect of *directivity*



5th December 2018, IG CAS, Czechia

INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYS OF THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, CZECH REPU

Bias in cross-correlated data – examples



West Bohemia: 2011



Bias in cross-correlated data – solutions?

Fully cross-correlated datasets – always biased

Combination of manual and cross-correlated dataset – one possible solution

Different filtering and data processing – no desired effect

There is no simple and elegant solution...for now



Cross-correlations in other applications

Template matching





Cross-correlations in other applications

Template matching





Cross-correlations in other applications



INSTITUTE OF GEOPHYSICS

Cross-correlations - summary

Fast and easy method suitable for automatic data processing as well as for advanced analyses

Has its shortcomings – related to the stronger events

Valuable in fast relocations, picking (template matching), all kinds of waveform similarity analyses.



Thank you for your attention

